

**SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL****LOCAL COMMITTEE (SURREY HEATH)****DATE: 10 DECEMBER 2015****LEAD OFFICER: ANDREW MILNE – AREA HIGHWAYS MANAGER (NW)****SUBJECT: HIGHWAYS UPDATE****DIVISION: ALL****SUMMARY OF ISSUE:**

To report progress made with the delivery of proposed highways schemes, developer funded schemes, and revenue funded works for the 2015/16 financial year.

To **agree** the proposed capital works programme for 2016/17.

To report on relevant topical highways matters.

**RECOMMENDATIONS:****The Local Committee (Surrey Heath) is asked to:**

- (i) Note the progress with the ITS highways and developer funded schemes, and revenue funded works for the 2015/16 financial year.
- (ii) Agree the proposed capital works programme for 2016/17
- (iii) Note the budgetary position.
- (iv) Note that a further Highways Update will be brought to the next meeting of this Committee.

**REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The above recommendations are made to enable progression of all highway related schemes and works.

## **1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND:**

- 1.1 Surrey County Council's Local Transport Plan (LTP) states the aim of improving the highway network for all users, through measures such as reducing congestion, improving accessibility, reducing personal injury accidents, improving the environment and maintaining the highway network so that it is safe for all users.

## **2. ANALYSIS:**

### **2.1 Capital works programme for 2015/16**

- 2.1.1 The capital works programme is now presented as a combined programme of both ITS and capital maintenance works to provide a clearer picture of works and budgets. This programme, shown in Table 1 below, was informally discussed and agreed in principle during a private meeting held on 18 September 2014, and formally approved on 11 December 2014. Works continuing from the 2014/15 financial year have been included in this table.
- 2.1.2 All costs shown are estimated, and it is suggested that should scheme costs vary from the estimates shown, that Committee support a flexible approach that enables the matching of schemes as best as can be achieved to the available budget.

<b>Scheme Name</b>	<b>Detail/Limits</b>	<b>Progress</b>	<b>Estimated Cost (£)</b>
Borough Wide Signal Update	Reassessment of identified highway signals across the borough	With Traffic Signals team. Delivery in progress.	£130,000
Old Guildford Road speed limit reduction	Reduce the current speed limit along the residential area from national speed limit to 30mph	Design commenced.	£10,000
Chertsey Road (A319) speed limit reduction	Reduce the current speed limit along the A319 from national speed limit to 60mph	Design commenced.	£10,000
LSR D545 & D14 – Yaverland Drive / Higgs Lane, Bagshot	From J/W A30 to J/W College Ride	<b>Completed.</b>	£54,657
LSR School Road, Windlesham (substituted for Upper College Ride)	From J/W A30 to J/W Snows Ride	<b>Completed.</b>	£129,970
Toshiba congestion reduction scheme (continuing from 2014/15)	Between Toshiba and Frimley Park hospital roundabouts	Scheme nearing completion. Please see <b>Annex A</b> to this report.	£424,166
<b>Total</b>			<b>758,793</b>

**Table 1 – Approved capital works programme for 2015/16**

[www.surreycc.gov.uk/surreyheath](http://www.surreycc.gov.uk/surreyheath)

- 2.1.3 The capital budget made available to the Surrey Heath Local Committee for 2015/16 is £306,702. A further £124,000 has been carried forward from the 2014/15 financial year, and along with developer funding of £332,066, the total available capital funding is £762,866.
- 2.1.4 As the resurfacing of Upper College Ride will be funded through the Project Horizon works programme, it was agreed to substitute this site for School Road, Windlesham.
- 2.1.5 Contingency planning – in the event of any schemes not being deliverable, or being unable to proceed for other reasons, Surrey Heath Committee have approved a list of Local Structural Repair (LSR) works, shown in Table 2 below, for use on a contingency basis to ensure that budgets are effectively utilised.

Scheme Name	Detail/Limits	Division	Estimated Cost (£)
D3418 – Berkshire Road, Camberley	Whole length	Camberley East	£61,000
T3019 – Town Path, Camberley	Townpath from The Avenue to the Recreation Ground	Camberley West	£7,500
D502 – Burr Hill Road, Chobham	From Delta Rd to J/W Windsor Court Rd	Chobham, Windlesham and Bagshot	£30,650
D516 – Windsor Court Road, Chobham	From Windsor Court Rd to J/W Bowling Green Rd	Chobham, Windlesham and Bagshot	£29,825
D3512 – Townside Place, Camberley	Now being funded through Project Horizon	Camberley East	0

**Table 2 – Approved LSR contingency programme for 2015/16**

## **2.2 Revenue maintenance allocations and expenditure 2015/16**

- 2.2.1 The 2015/16 revenue maintenance allocation for Surrey Heath is £150,535. This is a significant reduction from the 2014/15 funding level of £226,525.

Item	Allocation (£)	Committed to date (£)
Drainage / ditching	30,000	10,033
Carriageway and footway patching	35,535	51,096
Vegetation works	63,000	67,082
Signs and markings	10,000	7,590
Parking	7,000	0
Low cost measures	5,000	1,500
Kier OHP		5,653 (included in allocation figures)
<b>Total</b>	<b>150,535</b>	<b>£142,954</b>

**Table 3 – 2015/16 Revenue Maintenance Expenditure**

## 2.3 COMMUNITY ENHANCEMENT FUND

- 2.3.1 The total 2015/16 Community Enhancement allocation for Surrey Heath remains at £30,000. Committee have previously determined to divide this fund equally between County Councillor Committee Members.
- 2.3.2 The Maintenance Engineer for Surrey Heath will provide guidance and assistance, organise cost estimates, and raise orders to ensure delivery of works.
- 2.3.3 To ensure that this fund is effectively spent, and to enable highways contractors to deliver works before the end of the financial year, it was recommended that all works should be agreed by 31 October 2015, and that in the event of no firm spending decisions being made by this date, the Maintenance Engineer will determine suitable works and organise their delivery.
- 2.3.4 A summary of spend progress is shown in Table 4.

Member	Allocation (£)	Committed to date (£)
Bill Chapman	5,000	2,183
Denis Fuller	5,000	258
David Ivison	5,000	5,437
Chris Pitt	5,000	5,000
Mike Goodman	5,000	4,752
Adrian Page	5,000	960
<b>Total</b>	<b>30,000</b>	<b>18,591 committed</b>

**Table 4 – Community Enhancement Fund spend progress**

## 2.4 Other highways related matters – customer service performance

- 2.4.1 The total number of enquiries received between January and September 2015 is 93885, an average of 10,400 per month. This is slightly down from 11,000 in the first 6 months of the year but consistent with the summer months, when reports tend to reduce slightly.
- 2.4.2 All enquiries are categorised at the point of logging, either automatically through the website, or by officers. Safety defects are directed to Kier with the remainder passed to the SCC local office for further investigation. During 2014 the average split was 44% SCC and 56 % Kier, for the year to date this has shifted to 36/64. This can be partly attributed to improvements to the online reporting and additional information available on the roadwork web page.
- 2.4.3 For Surrey Heath specifically, 5,579 enquiries have been received since January of which 2340 (42%) were directed to the local area office for action. 96% of these have been resolved. This response rate is in line with the countywide average of 96%
- 2.4.4 For 2015, 377 complaints were received of which 43 stage 1 and 7 stage 2 were for the North West area, including Surrey Heath. The main reasons for these complaints were roadworks and resurfacing. The service was found to

be at fault in 2 of the stage 2 complaints following independent investigation. We continue to work closely with the corporate customer relations team and have created corrective action plans for all outstanding actions.

#### 2.4.5 Parking

The 2015/16 review report went to the local committee on 1 October. The advert for this is being prepared, with these proposals likely to be advertised early in 2016.

### 2.5 Proposed capital works programme for 2016/17

2.5.1 The 2016/17 Committee capital budget for Surrey Heath has not yet been confirmed. Although it is anticipated that there will be a reduction from the £306,702 2015/16 allocation of approximately £35,000, it is proposed that the capital works programme is approved on the basis of the full capital allocation being available, as this enables the programme to be reduced should this prove necessary.

2.5.2 Table 5 below records the proposed schemes discussed by Surrey Heath Committee Members in the informal meeting held on 5 November 2015. This list is presented in priority order and makes allowance for contingency schemes. It is proposed that the Committee adopt a flexible approach to this list so that as schemes develop, the programme can be adapted to the available budget. The Committee is asked to approve this programme.

Scheme Name	Detail/Limits	Estimated cost (£)	Progress
A319 High Street jctn with Chertsey Road Chobham – junction improvement	<b>Feasibility, consultation and design</b> - The scheme will consider options for the junction and consult the public on the options that come out of the assessment	35,000	
A322 Guildford Road jctn with Brentmoor Road – pedestrian facility improvements	<b>Construct only</b> - Assessment of junction still with SCC Traffic Signals Team	50,000 to 150,000	
D3448 Coleford Bridge Road/Hamesmoor Road – traffic management	<b>Feasibility, design and construct</b> - The road is currently on the Surrey Heath Speed Management Plan and surveys by Surrey Police suggest further improvements are required.	30,000	
A319 Bagshot Road, Chobham – new footway	<b>Design only</b> - There is evidence that the route is already used due to the wear on the verge.	10,000	
B311 Upper Chobham Road, Frimley – Traffic	<b>Design only</b> - Determine a more accurate cost for the scheme proposals arising from safety outside school	10,000	

## ITEM 12

calming measures	report.		
D3448 Coleford Bridge Road – new footway	Design only, drawing from any previous design work available.	10,000	
A319 Chertsey Road, Chobham – speed reducing features.	<b>Feasibility, consultation and design</b> - This scheme should be progress in conjunction with 06 to combine consultation opportunities.	15,000	
A30 London Road, Camberley LSR	Golf Rbt & approaches	118,800	
B3411 Frimley Road, Camberley LSR	Rbt with Wilton Rd & Park Rd	£32,000	
Greyfriars Drive, Bisley LSR	Full Length	£27,650	
Burr Hill Road, Chobham LSR	From Delta Rd to J/W Windsor Court Rd	£42,910	
Windsor Court Road, Chobham LSR	From Windsor Court Rd to J/W Bowling Green Rd	£41,755	
Elizabeth Avenue, Bagshot LSR	Whole length	£52,220	
Orchard Way, Camberley LSR	Link outside Orchard Court	£15,470	
Gloucester Gardens, Bagshot LSR	Whole length	£7,815	
Ferniehurst, Camberley LSR	From Waverley Dr to end of cul de sac	£22,980	
Higgs Lane, Bagshot LSR	Cul de sac section from outside no. 8 to end	£6,720	
Warren Rise (Cul de sac), Frimley LSR	From no. 27 to 45a	£5,580	
St Catherines Rd/ Regent Way, Frimley LSR	From point adjacent to no. 2 Regent way for a distance Of 63m down towards St Catherines Rd	£9,905	
Picton Close, Camberley LSR	outside no's 7 to 10	£3,690	
Gosnell Close, Camberley LSR	Whole cul de sac	£45,525	
Mytchett Place Road, Mytchett LSR	From West of Ent to " Bracklands" to top of rail bridge. Include section of Salisbury Grove (24m to Nth of J/W Salisbury Terrace)	£44,310	
Saddleback Road / Rowan Close, Camberley LSR	From junction with Larch Close to end of cul de sac	£24,080	
Berkshire Road, Camberley LSR	Whole length	£85,400	

Hartford Rise, Camberley LSR	Whole Length	£16,710	
Robins Bow, Camberley LSR	Whole Length	£21,300	
Lime Avenue, Camberley LSR	From no.47 to end	£17,640	
St Andrews Way, Frimley LSR	Whole length	£9,855	
Dell Grove, Frimley LSR	Whole Length	£14,520	
Quarry Bank, Lightwater LSR	From outside no.18 to end of road (excluding cul de sac splays nr numbers 6 & 16)	£8,325	
Regent Way, Frimley LSR	From outside no.19 to outside no.29	£3,408	
T3019 Town Path, Camberley LSR	TOWNPATH from The Avenue to The Recreation Ground	£10,500	
Lupin Close, Bagshot LSR	Whole length	£10,215	
<b>Total</b>		<b>£884,283</b>	

**Table 5 – Proposed capital works programme for 2016/17**

### **3. OPTIONS:**

3.1 Options, where appropriate, have been presented in this report.

### **4. CONSULTATIONS:**

4.1 Consultation is routinely carried out for highway-related schemes with relevant key parties, including residents, Local Members, Surrey Police and Safety Engineering. Specific details regarding consultation and any arising legal issues are included in individual scheme reports as appropriate.

### **5. FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS:**

- 5.1 Proposed ITS schemes are prioritised to ensure that the maximum public benefit is gained from any funding made available. So far as is practicable, Officer proposals follow the Countywide scheme assessment process (CASEM) and the prioritisation order determined by this.
- 5.2 The Committee Capital and Revenue Maintenance budgets are used to target the most urgent sites where a specific need arises, to keep up with general maintenance activities that reduce the need for expensive repairs in the future, and to support local priorities. The nature of these works is such that spend may vary slightly from that indicated.

**6. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS:**

- 6.1 It is an objective of Surrey Highways to treat all users of the public highway equally and with understanding. Appropriate and proportionate consultation is carried out with residents, and bodies representing particular user groups, to ensure that the interests of all highway users are considered.

**7. LOCALISM:**

- 7.1 Through the views and needs expressed by local communities, and accommodating where possible the involvement of local communities in looking after the public highway, localism is routinely considered as part of the consultation and bidding processes for highway-related works. Specific details regarding localism are included in individual reports as appropriate.

**8. OTHER IMPLICATIONS:**

- 8.1 Other implications, such as the contribution that a well-managed highway network can give to reducing crime and disorder, are considered in relation to individual schemes, and specific details included in individual reports as appropriate.

Area assessed:	Direct Implications:
Crime and Disorder	No significant implications arising from this report.
Sustainability (including Climate Change and Carbon Emissions)	No significant implications arising from this report.
Corporate Parenting/Looked After Children	No significant implications arising from this report.
Safeguarding responsibilities for vulnerable children and adults	No significant implications arising from this report.
Public Health	No significant implications arising from this report.

**9. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- 9.1 The Committee is asked to note the progress with all schemes and budgets.
- 9.2 The Committee is asked to approve the proposed 2016/17 capital works programme as laid out in Table 5 of this report.
- 9.2 It is recommended that a further Highways Update is presented at the next meeting of this Committee.

**10. WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:**

- 10.1 Officers will continue to progress delivery of all schemes and ensure effective use of all budgets.

**Contact Officer:**

Andrew Milne, Area Highways Manager (NW) – 03456 009 009

**Annexes:** none**Sources/background papers:**